

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/476,622	CHIN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
William M. Treat	2181	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) William M. Treat.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Chui-kuu Teresa Wong.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*NONE*

Claims discussed:

*NONE*

Prior art documents discussed:

*NONE*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner asked if a reply had been filed to his action of 1/20/2006 and was told that no reply had been filed.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)